

Table 1. Follow-up to June 3, 1998 MetroGIS Data Users Forum

No.	Street Centerline Data Set		Action Taken by TLG		Needs More Discussion	TLG NOTES
	Desired Enhancements/Improvements	Action Taken	Action Finished	Near Term Plans	Stakeholder Driven	
1	Unique ID's on points of interest, if unique could the point ID's remain consistent with each release			X	X	Unique and non-changing id's will be available in the March release.
2	Street annotation planned and general automated annotation issues such as scale.	TLG will investigate an annotation set and options for scale dependency.	X (partial)		X	Street name annotation currently available as of December release at detailed level only; i.e., not multi-scale text placement.
3	Provide a field for Interstate, Highway, County Road "Numbers" - to make populating of sign symbology easier.	Adding a field for Interstate, US Highway, State Highway and County Road designations (11 digit character field).	X			
4	Alias table for address matching	Each agency would provide their own table specific to their particular needs	X			TLG does not have its own defined list of aliases.
5	Is the feature class definition breakdown sufficient?	TLG has modified the feature class field to include separate classes for US Highways (A20) and State Highways (A25).	X			
6	Positional accuracy issues. What data sets are being used for verification? Field that identifies the level of accuracy of the source data? (ask TLG)	TLG is currently testing and documenting spatial accuracy of its centerline database as per National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy. TLG recently adjusted street centerline data set to Ramsey County surveyed street centerlines as well as the city of Minneapolis street centerline data set. TLG plans to adjust centerlines base on the 1997 aerial flight if that image set is available.		X		
7	Number of lanes on multi lane streets as an item. Field that identifies number of lanes on each center line - ability to calculate lane mileage.	TLG would strongly consider encoding information on the road centerlines if grant funding or other funding came available.			X	
8	Can data be provided in a format that is not dependent on ArcView/ArcInfo?	TLG is willing to provide more alternative formats; however, this will be on an individual organization basis and there would be a fee associated with the processing of the data sets.			X	
9	Address all county roads and state highways with theoretical ranges	TLG is continuing to encode theoretical address ranges on highways that do not have associated frontage roads.		X		
10	Possibility of providing TLG with good address data sets (some organizations are currently doing) to allow for quality address matching.	Support is on going in this area for all MetroGIS affiliates to provide TLG with good address data sets.			X	
11	Addition of adjacent State of Wisconsin street centerline data set	TLG is addressing much of the western portions of Pierce, Polk and Saint Croix counties in Wisconsin.		In progress. Completion projected for Spring 99		

Table 1. Follow-up to June 3, 1998 MetroGIS Data Users Forum

No.	Street Centerline Data Set		Action Taken by TLG		Needs More Discussion	TLG NOTES
	Desired Enhancements/Improvements	Action Taken	Action Finished	Near Term Plans	Stakeholder Driven	
12	Do programs exist that may assist with standardizing existing address databases to improve address matching efforts against the TLG street centerline data set?	Postal Coder is one program that exists; efforts to research and develop programs will be dependent on MetroGIS participants and funding availability.			X	
13	Add source attributes to street centerline segments.	There are numerous positional and attribute-specific sources that would need to be considered and documented for any centerline segment.			X	
14	Expand street type codes to a further level of detail				X	
15	Could a field, or fields, be added to reference the sources of line segments and/or associated attribute information?	Metadata documentation of TLG data set should be used to document source information			X	
16	Indexing for use with MapInfo geocoding				X	
17	What requirements may there be for integration with Trapeze/PASS translation software?	Data enhancement to the street centerline data set is need to integrate with Trapeze/PASS software.			X	
18	Like areas and points of interest				X	
19	Describe a process to clip out areas (county boundaries) or buffering a data set; provide a possible script?	Newly released ArcView 3.1 has clipping and buffering capabilities that the user may wish to utilize. Script documentation could be provided when complete			X	
20	Possibility of providing the data on by county as well as region-wide? Would a buffered county work?	Possible, but users could not append multiple counties together without accounting for duplicate segments that would result with roads that represent county boundaries.			X	
21	Comment (help) page on MetroGIS web site to address: projection files (UTM to various county coordinates), street centerline data newsletter, street centerline frequently asked Q&A.				X	

Table 1. Follow-up to June 3, 1998 MetroGIS Data Users Forum

Street Centerline Data Set		Action Taken by TLG			Needs More Discussion	TLG NOTES
No.	Desired Enhancements/Improvements	Action Taken	Action Finished	Near Term Plans	Stakeholder Driven	
22	Provide a site on the MetroGIS web or ftp site that users could provide amls, avenue scripts, extensions, helpful hints for public use.				X	Until another site is established, TLG is posting scripts on it own web site at www.lawrencegroup.com
23	E-mail users when new features or items of interest are available	TLG could supply MetroGIS with an email regarding significant changes and then MetroGIS could pass along the significant changes (Web or by E-mail)			X	
No.	Other Enhancements In progress or completed by TLG not identified at the June 3rd Data Users Forum	Action Taken	Action Finished	Near Term Plans		
1		A script to allow ArcView users better address matching capabilities	X			
2		Added a field describing traffic flow direction on divided streets	X			Will be provided with March release
3		Development of a data interchange mode	X			
4		Expansion of database to include Wright and Sherburne Counties	December 1, 1998 release of dataset			
5		Expansion of database to include Hudson, WI. (See #11 above)		In progress. Completion projected for Spring 99		Hudson and Mcleod scheduled for availability on March release
6		Renaming of ramps to indicate from and to road names		In progress. Completion projected for March 99		