

Summary of Responses to Workshop Evaluation Form

"Meeting Shared Geospatial Needs Beyond Data"

January 24, 2008

Survey Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Name (if provided)							Chris Cialek		Patrick Hamilton
<b>Did this workshop provide an effective means to:</b>									
Incorporate diverse viewpoints?	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4
Identify new ideas/opportunities?	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	
Identify ways to improve collaboration/sharing?	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3
Identify realistic and actionable objectives?	3	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	4
Frame topics that require policy direction?	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4
<b>Usefulness of Information Provided Before Workshop</b>									
Background Readings	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4
Glossary	4	4	4	3		4	3	3	4
Roster of Participants	4	4	5	3	4	4	5	4	4
Guidance Provided In Letters From Chairperson Reinhardt	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	4
<b>Effectiveness of Program:</b>									
Setting the Stage: Practical Experiences	4	5	4		5	5	4	4	4
Part 1: Sharing Applications	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5
Part 2: Sharing Infrastructure	3	5	4	3	3	4	4	4	3
Part 3: Institutional Arrangements for Sharing	3	5	5	4	3	4	3	3	5
Part 4: Summarization and Future Direction	4	5	4		2	3	4	3	
<b>Adequacy of Facilities:</b>									
Location – Metro Counties Government Center	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5
Meeting Room	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5
Food	4	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	4
<b>Individual Average Rating</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.1</b>
<b>Overall Workshop Average Rating</b>	<b>4.1</b>								
<b>Please identify the most inspiring idea you brought away from the Workshop:</b>			The interest in these subjects from such a diverse group	There is a desire expressed by most in the room to collaborate - but some unsuredness as to how we can do that.	* Service Level Agreements; *Want to find out what are 'service registries'; *Really <u>valuable</u> having IT people here.	The enthusiasm with which people participated in the session tells me that we are on the right track!	Reconnecting with this diverse, enthusiastic, and intelligent group reinstills motivation.	Everyone involved wants to make something work. Excellent selection of participants.	Modular application development to allow for plug & play use by members. Identify & maintain standards and don't concern too much the development environment.
<b>Please identify one thing that if achieved would make this Workshop a major success</b>			A continuation of the communication & idea sharing that would lead to implementing those ideas		Find way(s) to do data synch/edit for address points and develop ways to do it.	A clear plan for moving ahead.	Implement a functional service catalog and registry.	Identify common WMS needs that can be implemented	Eventually the identification of concrete and substantive steps to be taken to address the shared application and data standard needs of the group
<b>Additional Comments:</b>					Wish there had been fruit and/or salad. Would have been helpful to have at least some of the slides from "setting the stage" printed out to refer to during talks.		Thanks to PlanGraphics. Budget discussion began with "Where do we get more!" without ascertaining whether or not current allocations were adequate and/or leveraged correctly		

Survey Number	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Name (if provided)		Bill Swing	Bob Basques			Jim Bunning	Alison Slaats	
<b>Did this workshop provide an effective means to:</b>								
Incorporate diverse viewpoints?	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4
Identify new ideas/opportunities?	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Identify ways to improve collaboration/sharing?	4	4	5	5	4	3	4	4
Identify realistic and actionable objectives?	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
Frame topics that require policy direction?	4	4	3	3	4	3	5	4
<b>Usefulness of Information Provided Before Workshop</b>								
Background Readings	4	4	3	2		5	5	3
Glossary	3	4	3	4		4	5	4
Roster of Participants	3	4	4	4		4	5	5
Guidance Provided In Letters From Chairperson Reinhardt	4	4	4	4		3	5	5
<b>Effectiveness of Program:</b>								
Setting the Stage: Practical Experiences	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Part 1: Sharing Applications	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4
Part 2: Sharing Infrastructure	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4
Part 3: Institutional Arrangements for Sharing	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
Part 4: Summarization and Future Direction	4	4				4	5	3
<b>Adequacy of Facilities:</b>								
Location – Metro Counties Government Center	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5
Meeting Room	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5
Food	4	4	3	4	3	5	5	5
<b>Individual Average Rating</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.1</b>
<b>Overall Workshop Average Rating</b>								
<b>Please identify the most inspiring idea you brought away from the Workshop:</b>	Expand collaboration to other state entities	Learn from what others have done even on organizational challenges.	The possibilities for collaboration that are already available. Many more opportunities have presented themselves.	Defining and clarifying services and apps - prioritization of efforts through _____ exercise.		We are moving GIS in the "correct" direction. Build once, use many times. We're already doing this, but it was a nice way to say it -- taken from Dan Ross presentation.	We need to use market products & focus more on decision support for policy makers -- that will "buy" us support.	The itentive "just do it" process does work for some organizations
<b>Please identify one thing that if achieved would make this Workshop a major success</b>	Broaden MetroGIS scope in the industry.		Agreement on a common operational capability	Community recognition of shared services and needs.		A website to continue board discussions and promotes collaboration.		The things on the to-do list were achieved in the coming year. MetroGIS continues providing informal collaboration
<b>Additional Comments:</b>		Thank you for having me!	Kinda light on the homework associated with open source options	Background Readings: Too much with no prioritization. No discussion of interfacing with growing public mapping use. Increase appetite. In future, many apps will be created by non GIS professionals and independent developers via mash up, etc.		Thank you Jim & John! I've enjoyed working with you. Sorry I was so quiet - that's just the way I am.	I would have liked to spend more time on non-local examples that PlanGraphics presented.	

Survey Number	18	19	20	21	22	23
Name (if provided)		Brett Budrow		Shashi Shekhar		
<b>Did this workshop provide an effective means to:</b>						
Incorporate diverse viewpoints?	4	5	4	5	5	4
Identify new ideas/opportunities?	4	4	4	3	4	3
Identify ways to improve collaboration/sharing?	5	4	4	4	4	3
Identify realistic and actionable objectives?	4	4	3	4	3	3
Frame topics that require policy direction?	5	4	4	5	3	3
<b>Usefulness of Information Provided Before Workshop</b>						
Background Readings	4	4	4	5	4	4
Glossary	4	4	4	5	4	4
Roster of Participants	4	4	4	5	4	4
Guidance Provided In Letters From Chairperson Reinhardt	4	4		5	4	4
<b>Effectiveness of Program:</b>						
Setting the Stage: Practical Experiences	5	4	5	5	4	4
Part 1: Sharing Applications	5	4	4	5	4	4
Part 2: Sharing Infrastructure	5	4	4	5	3	4
Part 3: Institutional Arrangements for Sharing	5	5	4	4	3	4
Part 4: Summarization and Future Direction	4	4	4	5	4	4
<b>Adequacy of Facilities:</b>						
Location – Metro Counties Government Center	4	4	4	5	5	4
Meeting Room	4	4	4	5	5	4
Food	4	4	4	5	5	4
<b>Individual Average Rating</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.8</b>
<b>Overall Workshop Average Rating</b>						
<b>Please identify the most inspiring idea you brought away from the Workshop:</b>	An awareness of the degree to which regional/state GIS application providers are already coordinating their efforts and providing cooperative assistance	Reiterated marketing and communication as important to success of a GIS effort		A) Widespread desire to work together; B) In the late 1990s, MetroGIS was already exploring cutting-edge ideas like XML (for land-parcel data) well ahead of peers	Parts 2 & 3 seemed to be a bit controlled, as though there were some assumptions about formations and governance that didn't happen.	Photocopying money. Services registry was a done second. Good to see that others had these interests. Very interesting and informative session. I definitely came away with far more than I contributed!!!
<b>Please identify one thing that if achieved would make this Workshop a major success</b>	Tangible progress on establishing a regional/state registry/catalog for identifying and invoking GIS services from disparate GIS applications	Implementation of common services model		Develop a shared vision for GIS activities at state and metro-level across organization. Encourage exploration of cutting edge ideas - eg. (geospatial) semantic web, RDF, anthologies to address data heterogeneity issues	Solid information and direction for policy makers.	
<b>Additional Comments:</b>		Great process, good participation. It was interesting to hear how other states struggle with data sharing and support at the state level	Providing a fairly comprehensive catalog of resources available out there would be very helpful	It will be wonderful to identify/implement ways to build bridges between policy makers and GIS technical community.		